# Interpreting Evaluation Data

# **Practical Strategies**



## **Performance Targets & Project Goals**

#### What is it?

The assessment of a project's performance (e.g., deliverables, outputs, and outcomes) against its planned activities and impact.

#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Establishes a logical link between project activities and impact
- Demonstrates if the project did what it set out or planned to do
- Incorporates data that is often readily available to projects

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- Project Logic Model
- ATE Proposal
- Curriculum Learning Objectives

# 223

#### Pause & Consider:

- Does not provide relevant contextual information.
  Focus is on "if," not "why?"
- May not be sufficient on its own

#### Rubric

#### What is it?

The use of a framework that describes what performance looks like at various levels.

#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Allows for meaningful conclusions grounded in context
- Weaves qualitative and quantitative data
- Increases transparency in evaluative conclusions

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- Project leadership and staff
- Students or faculty served
- Business and industry partners

# 0

#### Pause & Consider:

- Carefully consider who is involved in the development of rubrics
- Can be time-consuming
- Some may not consider rigorous

# **Engagement through Participatory Sensemaking**

#### What is it?

A collaborative process where people jointly make sense of information and develop a shared understanding of its meaning. This process creates space for dialogue between power holders, making evaluation more democratic. Example of approaches include: *critical conversations*, *Most Significant Change* model, or *Data Parties*.

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- Project leadership and staff
- Students or faculty served
- Business and industry partners



#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Increases buy-in and understanding of evaluative conclusions
- Encourages double-loop learning
- Democratic process that increases inclusiveness

#### Pause & Consider:

- Carefully consider who is involved in the development
- Resource intensive
- Tension between rigor and context



This material is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1841783. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

# Interpreting Evaluation Data

# **Practical Strategies**



ATE PI 2023 Conference Workshop Handout | Megan Lopez & Lyssa Wilson Becho

### **Comparative Analysis against Historical or Baseline Data**

#### What is it?

The act of comparing project data at various intervals to make evaluative judgements. Often, this means comparing what was happening *before* the project to what happened *after*.

#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Situates data and findings in project context
- Easy to identify if change has occurred
- Doesn't get hung up on setting benchmarks

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- ATE Proposal Project Description
- Institutional Research Office
- Grants Management Office



#### Pause & Consider:

- Does not indicate if change was significant
- Requires planning and/or access to data
- Collection methods may vary over time

#### **Comparison Groups**

#### What is it?

Comparing project data against a similar group that was *not* involved in the project.

#### **Benefits of Use:**

 Supports the assertion that outcomes are associated with the project and to what extent

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- · Institutional Research Office
- · Grants Management Office

#### Pause & Consider:

- · May be difficult to identify a comparison group
- May be difficult to access data
- · Does not account for differences between groups

## **Similar Programs**

#### What is it?

Comparing project data against a project with similar activities or intended outcomes.

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- EvaluATE's ATE Survey Report
- ATE Central Archives
- · Research articles or publications



#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Supports the assertion that outcomes are associated with the project and to what extent
- Comparisons to evidence-based programs supports project credibility

#### Pause & Consider:

- May be difficult to identify a similar program and access its data
- Does not account for differences between programs
- Collection methods may vary
- Avoid tearing down another other projects

## **Benchmarking against National Data Sets**

#### What is it?

Comparing project data against standardized data collected on a national landscape.

#### **Benefits of Use:**

- Provides a control group
- Relatively simple when data is available
- May provide common data collection tools

#### **ATE-Specific Sources**

- National Center for Education Statistics
- NSF INCLUDES Shared Measures Initiative
- Research articles or publications

#### Pause & Consider:

- National data sets may not exist or allow open access
- · Collection methods may vary across contexts

