Outstanding Evaluation Award
2021 Nomination Form

Online submission form can be found at evalu-ate.org/oea

Before proceeding with this nomination form, please verify that the evaluation you are nominating meets the minimum criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the evaluation reflect at least two years of the project's operations?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the evaluated project's grant expiration date later than June 20, 2019?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you answered No to either question, the evaluation you wish to nominate does not meet the eligibility criteria. If you have questions, please contact lyssa.becho@wmich.edu.

If you answered Yes to both questions, see nomination form below.

What is your name?

First Name ________________________________________________
Last Name ________________________________________________

What is your relationship to the evaluation you are nominating?

- Evaluator or member of evaluation team
- Principal investigator of evaluated project or member of project team
- Project partner (please describe)__________________________________________
- Other (please describe) ________________________________________________
What is your contact information?

Email Address: ________________________________________________

Phone Number: ________________________________________________

What is the name and award number of the ATE project whose evaluation you are nominating for the Outstanding ATE Evaluation Award?

Project name: ________________________________________________

Project award number: ________________________________________________

In what category are you nominating this evaluation?

- Outstanding Evaluation of a Small, New to ATE Project
- Outstanding Evaluation of an ATE Center
- Outstanding Evaluation of an ATE Project

What is your justification for this nomination?

In 50 words or less, explain why you think the evaluation you are nominating should be recognized as an outstanding example of an ATE evaluation. (Longer testimonials will be uploaded separately on the next page)

__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________
Testimonials (required): Upload up to three separate testimonials from stakeholders about how they used the findings from this evaluation and otherwise found value in the evaluation process. Testimonials may be written by project leaders or staff, affiliated faculty, industry partners, organizational administrators or other audiences for the evaluation. Testimonials by members of the evaluation team will not be accepted.

Testimonials should include:
- Author’s name
- Author’s title
- Author’s relationship to evaluated project
- Author’s contact information

Testimonial template can be downloaded here: 2021 OEA Testimonial Template

Testimonial #1

Additional Testimonial #2 (optional)

Additional Testimonial #3 (optional)

Evaluation Report #1 (required): Upload up to three reports that represent the overall evaluation. These may include technical reports, journal articles, slide decks, or other documents that convey the evaluation’s process and findings.

Evaluation Report #2 (optional)

Evaluation Report #3 (optional)

Evaluator’s Statement (optional): The evaluator’s statement is an opportunity for the evaluator who conducted the nominated evaluation to highlight aspects of the evaluation that they think are especially noteworthy.

Supplementary Materials (optional): You may submit other materials that will help the judges assess the quality and utility of the nominated evaluation (e.g., blogs, articles from newsletters or other media).